

## Abuse of Rights Doctrine.

A Knowingly wrongful assumption that the action being done by governments to pass mandatory face mask law/ regulations is not a breach of basic personal fundamental human rights, which are inherently possessed by the individual person ,or a business entity, is part of the principles known as Abuse of Rights Doctrine.

This occurs where the Constitution is seen to be giving immunity to protect Primary legislation passed into law by National or regional Parliaments/ Legislatures, which empowers local officials through secondary legislation to disregard personal rights. These officials in most instances are members of the Executive branch of government, and may be empowered in any of the following capacities ( Governors , Premiers, Ministers of Health or their subordinates, Secretary of Health, City Mayor, Health officer).

Absence of scrutiny through the normal channels of democratic due process by members of legislatures, State governments, and/or local governments, in regard to the making of mandatory or blanket byelaws/ regulations, to force the wearing of face coverings/masks, is under certain circumstances, in itself, subversive of superior National and /or State Constitutions, as the situation presents itself.

The voice of the People is not heard in relation to how they will be impacted in terms of medical health ethics.

Furthermore Human Rights laws such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights that have been ratified and adopted by most countries, must be given due consideration in recognition of personal rights of all persons who will be affected by imposition of mandatory face masks measures.

National or Regional Bills of Rights whether in State or Provincial Constitutions, give added protection, and must not be ignored in regard to ensuring autonomy of the individual when Regional, local , or city byelaws are drafted and implemented to enforce mandatory orders.